

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/694,180	10/28/2003	William Travis Young	33386	2749		
20686	7590 12/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	WHITNEY, LLP	CHORBAJI,	CHORBAJI, MONZER R			
	UAL PROPERTY DEPART EENTH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
<b>SUITE 4700</b>			1744			
DENVER, C	O 80202-5647	DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/694,180	YOUNG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MONZER R. CHÖRBAJI	1744	

	MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compaining the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			Coddoc			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			•			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 10-16.	•					
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-6 and 10-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	A MA				
		A TANK CORCC	DRAN			
•	G SUPEF	VISORY PATENT E	EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No. 10/694,180

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims 1-8 and 10-16 have numerous newly added limitations that require further evaluation and additional searching.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant arguments upon evaluation are not considered since they are directed to the newly added features and combinations thereof.